CNA'S Santonser 2, 2016 orified complaint in 2nd citizen-pocpaser actin (Albany Cott 5122-2016)

- D. <u>As Applied</u>, a Commission that Suppresses and Disregards Citizen Input and Opposition is Unconstitutional
- 76. Plaintiffs' showing is set forth by the incorporated Exhibit A: ¶¶445-452. It is accurate, true, and correct in all material respects.

## AS AND FOR AN EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

The Commission's Violations of <u>Express</u> Statutory Requirements of Chapter 60, Part E, of the Laws of 2015 Renders its Judicial Salary Increase Recommendations Null and Void

- 77. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate, and reallege ¶¶ 1-76 herein with the same force and effect as if more fully set forth.
- 78. Plaintiffs' eighth cause of action herein is the <u>fifteenth cause of action of their March</u>
  23, 2016 verified second supplemental complaint in their prior citizen-taxpayer action, Exhibit A:

  ¶453-457. It is accurate, true, and correct in all material respects.
- 79. A further "appropriate factor" that the Commission failed to "take into account", in violation of §2, ¶3 of the Commission statute, is the statutory link between judicial salaries and district attorneys, plainly impacting upon "the state's ability to fund increases in compensation and non-salary benefits" one of the six factors enumerated by §2, ¶3 of the Commission statute.
- 80. The Commission's disregard of this "appropriate factor" for its consideration was not inadvertent. Plaintiffs' advocacy alerted the Commissioners to the statutory link between judicial salaries and district attorney salaries and its financial impact to the state.<sup>3</sup>

Plaintiffs' October 27, 2011 opposition report (at p. 24); the video of plaintiff Sassower's testimony before the Legislature at its February 6, 2013 "public protection" budget hearing, accessible from the links plaintiffs furnished.