



Supreme Court of the State of New York  
Appellate Division, First Department

ROLANDO T. ACOSTA  
PRESIDING JUSTICE

SUSANNA MOLINA ROJAS  
CLERK OF THE COURT

MARGARET SOWAH  
DEPUTY CLERK OF THE COURT

DOUGLAS C. SULLIVAN  
DEPUTY CLERK OF THE COURT

July 21, 2022

Elena R. Sassower  
10 Stewart Place, 2 D-E  
White Plains, NY 10603

Re: Complaint against Chief Attorney Jorge Dopico et. al. regarding Docket No. 2021.0488 (Barbara Underwood) and Docket No. 2021.0843 (Letitia James, Esq.)

Dear Ms. Sassower:

Your complaints against Chief Attorney, Jorge Dopico, Esq., and attorney staff of the Attorney Grievance Committee (AGC) regarding the handling of your complaints against Barbara Underwood, Esq., Docket No. 2021.0488, and Letitia James, Esq., Docket No. 2021.0843, were referred to me for a response.

I have obtained and reviewed the Grievance Committee's files regarding your complaints against Barbara Underwood, Esq. (Dkt. No.2021.0488) and Letitia James, Esq. (Dkt. No. 2021.0843). While you may disagree with the Committee's conclusions, there is nothing in the files to indicate that the Committee erred in its resolution of your complaints. Indeed, following the initial determination by the Chief Attorney to dismiss your complaints, upon reconsideration, the complaints received another level of review by the Committee which agreed with the original determination not to proceed with your complaints.



Supreme Court of the State of New York  
Appellate Division, First Department

ROLANDO T. ACOSTA  
PRESIDING JUSTICE

SUSANNA MOLINA ROJAS  
CLERK OF THE COURT

MARGARET SOWAH  
DEPUTY CLERK OF THE COURT

DOUGLAS C. SULLIVAN  
DEPUTY CLERK OF THE COURT

By correspondence dated March 9, 2022, you sought another reconsideration of the Committee's determinations. By letters dated March 11, 2022, you were advised that there was no procedure after denial of reconsideration and that the Committee could be of no further assistance.

The Chief Attorney and staff's actions were in accordance with the rules and procedures of the Attorney Grievance Committee (22 NYCRR Part 1240.7). Accordingly, there is no basis for further action and the Court considers the matters closed.

Sincerely,

Margaret Sowah