

## Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)

---

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 30, 2018 12:41 PM  
**To:** 'Julie McMahon'; 'Mark Weiner'; 'Patrick Lohmann'; 'cbaker@syracuse.com'  
**Subject:** Resent: What's happening? -- April 11th e-mail: "TAKING THE LEAD: Upending the 2018 electoral races with fully-documented, readily-verifiable stories germane to your reporting..."  
**Attachments:** constitutional-provisions.pdf; 3-23-16-2nd-supp-complaint-16th-cause-of-action.pdf; 9-2-16-complaint-9th-cause-of-action.pdf; 8-10-17-open-ltr.pdf

As my below e-mail was sent to you on a Sunday (yesterday), I am resending it today, in case you missed it.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 29, 2018 11:50 AM  
**To:** 'Julie McMahon' <jmcmahon@Syracuse.com>; 'Mark Weiner' <mweiner@Syracuse.com>; 'Patrick Lohmann' <PLohmann@syracuse.com>; 'cbaker@syracuse.com' <cbaker@syracuse.com>  
**Subject:** What's happening? -- April 11th e-mail: "TAKING THE LEAD: Upending the 2018 electoral races with fully-documented, readily-verifiable stories germane to your reporting..."

**TO: Syracuse Post-Standard Reporters Julie McMahon, Mark Weiner, Patrick Lomann, and Chris Baker**

What's happening? I have been patiently waiting to hear back from Julie, but have not received any phone call or e-mail from her or anyone else. Have you tried to reach me? And if not, why not?

Is it your news judgment, individually and collectively, that the primary-source EVIDENCE furnished by my April 11<sup>th</sup> e-mail, with its appended e-mail chain and attachments, is not, as I stated it was, major news, upending the 2018 electoral races and germane to your reporting?

How about your news editors? Have you shared my April 11<sup>th</sup> e-mail with them? And what about the Editorial Board, whose April 5<sup>th</sup> editorial, "[NYS budget recap: broken process, broken promises](#)", stated:

"We won't mince words about the budget process itself. It's a joke. The governor, Assembly and Senate propose [their own budgets](#). Hearings are held. Lobbyists lobby. Ralliers rally. It's all for show. The real decisions on spending come down to last-minute horse-trading among the 'four men in a room' - Cuomo, Assembly Speaker Carl Heastie, Senate Majority Leader John Flanagan and Independent Democratic Conference leader Jeffrey Klein."

As you know from my April 11<sup>th</sup> e-mail, the "budget process" is more than "a joke". It -- and including "four men in a room" -- is completely "OFF THE CONSTITUTIONAL RAILS", violating a succession of constitutional, statutory, and rule provisions -- and so-demonstrated by CJA's citizen-taxpayer action, whose FULL record is posted on our website: <http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-nys/budget/menu-budget-reform.htm>, for independent verification

What have you done to verify the dispositive nature of the citizen-taxpayer action, evident to any layman from even the most cursory review of its verified pleadings. Have you picked up the phone and called any legal and constitutional scholars, such as Zephyr Teachout, or those locally available to you at Syracuse University's Maxwell School of