

**Sent on Friday, April 6, 2018, at 2:36 p.m., via Sinclair Broadcasting Group's Contact Page:**  
<http://sbgi.net/contact/>

TO: Sinclair Broadcasting Group

ATT: Senior Vice President for News Scott Livingston

I have left three voice mail messages for you -- two days ago, yesterday, and today -- at least two of which were on the voice mail of your assistant Emily -- identifying that our non-partisan, non-profit citizens' organization, Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) has a mountain of EVIDENCE to furnish you in substantiation of Sinclair's "fake news" corporate-mandated message -- for which, as you know, Sinclair has been sharply criticized.

I stated that the EVIDENCE establishes a modus operandi of willful and deliberate "fake news" by the diverse, non-Sinclair media -- "fake news" of a largely identical nature -- and that we had brought groundbreaking lawsuits against The New York Times and Gannett, suing each for "journalistic fraud" for their knowingly false and misleading reporting, editorializing and election-rigging.

To enable you to begin to assess the significance of this EVIDENCE in combatting the severe criticisms that Sinclair has been receiving -- and to ensure a prompt call-back, especially as I stated I needed your e-mail address to send you an important e-mail I was writing -- I furnished CJA's website address, [www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org), stating that the EVIDENCE could be accessed via the left sidebar panel "Press Suppression".

Is it Sinclair's normal and customary practice not to respond to voice mail messages of such a substantial, relevant nature? Or have you called me back without leaving a message?

Please advise.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
[www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org)  
914-421-1200